Effects of speech codecs on a remote speaker recognition system using a new SAD.
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Abstract— This paper deals with the effects of speech codecs on remote text-independent speaker recognition performance in VoIP applications, considering three types of speech codec: PCM, DPCM and ADPCM conforming to International Telecommunications Union - Telecoms (ITU-T) recommendation used in telephony and VoIP (Voice over Internet Protocol). In order to improve the speaker recognition performance in noisy environment we propose a new robust speech activity detection algorithm (SAD) using "Adaptive Threshold", which can be simulated with speech wave files of TIMIT database submerged in an additive noise. Moreover, the speaker recognition system is based on Vector Quantization and Mel-Frequency Cepstral features extraction algorithm. Where, the feature extraction proceed after (for testing phase) and before (for training phase) the speech is sending over communication channel. Therefore, the digital channels can introduce several types of degradation. To overcome the degradation of channel, a convolutional code is used as error-control coding with the AWGN channel. Finely, the best overall performance of speech codecs was observed for the PCM code in terms of recognition rate accuracy and execution time.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Speaker recognition is the ability of recognizing a person from solely his voice. There are various techniques to resolve the automatic speaker recognition problem [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Where most published works where the speech does not under phone network and digital channels in noisy environment. Few published works on phone network and digital channels and degradation [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Our aim is to provide a comprehensive assessment of speech codecs, considering codecs conforming to ITU-T (International Telecommunications Union - Telecoms) and that are used in Internet telephony and internal IP network in a remote speaker recognition system. Figure 1 shows a general diagram of a remote information system using a remote speaker (or speech) recognition approach, where after recognition, the server provides and transmits the required information to the client [7]. Moreover, the speaker recognition system is based on Vector Quantization (VQ) [11, 12] and Mel - Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) algorithm [12, 6]. There are various kinds of speech codecs available. The speech codecs generally complies industry standards like ITU-T. The main software of ITU standard are : G.711, G.722, G.723, G.726, G.728, G.727, and G.729. We consider in this paper PCM, DPCM and ADPCM codecs and their effects on speaker recognition accuracy.

Fig. 1 General scheme of a speech-driven remote information system [7]

There are several possibilities for the implementation of a remote speaker or speech recognition system over a digital channel. In the first approach, usually known as network speech recognition (NSR), the recognition system resides on the network from the client’s point of view [7]. In this case, the speech is compressed by a speech codec in order to allow a low bit rate transmission and/or to use an existing speech traffic channel (as in the case of mobile telephony). The recognition is usually performed over the features extracted from the decoded signal, although it is also possible to extract the recognition features directly from the codec parameters. Figure 2 shows a scheme of this system architecture. In the case where implementation is over an IP network, a VoIP codec can be employed [7]. The second approach known as distributed speech (or speaker) recognition (DSR) [7]. In this case, the client includes a local front end that processes the speech signal in order to directly obtain the specific features used by the remote server (back end) to perform recognition,
thus avoiding the coding/decoding process required by NSR [7]. The conceptual scheme of DSR is shown in Figure 3.

![Fig 2. Scheme of a network speaker (or speech) recognition system [7].](image1)

**Fig 2.** Scheme of a network speaker (or speech) recognition system [7].

![Fig 3. Scheme of a distributed speech recognition (DSR) system [7].](image2)

**Fig 3.** Scheme of a distributed speech recognition (DSR) system [7].

In our work we adopted network speaker (speech) recognition conforming to figure 4.

Our work is divided in five steps: in the first we illustrate speech codecs standard. In the second a system configuration is set including speaker recognition system over digital channel (AWGN). In the third we illustrate feature extraction used in recognition system (MFCC). In the forth, in order to improve the memory capacity and speaker recognition performance in noisy environment we propose a new robust speech activity detection algorithm (SAD) using "Adaptive Threshold". The key advantages of this algorithm is its simple implementation and its low computational complexity. This algorithm is based on energy and zero crossing rate. In the fifth, we introduce error correcting code methods that are necessary to improve immunity to noise of communication channels, in our work we considered convolutional code. In the sixth, a simulation results and discussion is done, where we started by the evaluation of speech activity detection algorithm (SAD), then a work is done about remote speaker identification accuracy using PCM, DPCM, and ADPCM with AWGN channel versus SNR. To illustrate the advantage of using channel code, we use remote speaker identification system with and without convolutional code. In term of execution time, we do a comparative study of PCM, DPCM, and ADPCM codecs.

**II. SPEECH CODECS STANDARD**

Access to a voice server is not only made through the conventional telephone network, but voice can also be transmitted through wireless networks or IP networks. The main factors that determine voice quality are choice of codec, packet loss, latency and jitter. The number of standard and proprietary codecs developed to compress speech data has been quickly increased. We present, only the codecs conforming to ITU-T.

**A. PCM**

G.711 [13,14] known as PCM codec used in VoIP and fixed telephony. VoIP standard describes two algorithms μ-law and A-law. The μ-law version is used primarily in North America. A-law version used in most other countries outside North America. Both algorithms code speech using 8 bits per sample which provides 50% reduction in bandwidth use for original signal sampled with 16 bits at 8 kHz sample rate, i.e. reduction from 128 kb/s to 64 kb/s.

**B. DPCM (G727)**

Differential coding is a signal encoder that uses the baseline of PCM which naturally appropriate in speech quantization. One of the first scalable speech codecs was embedded DPCM [15] Later in 1990, an embedded DPCM system was standardized by the ITU-T as G.727 [16]. The typical operating rate of systems using this technique is higher than 2 bits per sample resulting in rates of 16 Kbits/sec or higher [16].

**C. ADPCM**

G.726 [17, 14] algorithm provides conversion of 64 kb/s A-/μ-law encoded signal to and from a 40, 32, 24 or 16 kb/s signal using Adaptive Differential Pulse Code Modulation (ADPCM). The principle application of 40 kb/s is to carry data modem signals not speech. The most commonly used bitrates for speech compression is 32 kb/s which doubles the capacity compared to the G.711

**III. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION**

Speaker recognition can be classified into identification and verification. Speaker identification is the process of determining which registered speaker provides a given utterance. Speaker verification is the process of accepting or rejecting the identity claim of a speaker. The system that we will describe is classified as text independent speaker identification system.

The system we used for experiments included a remote text independent speaker recognition system which was set up according to the following block diagram in figure 4.

**Fig 4.** Remote speaker recognition system.
A. TRAINING PHASE

In the training stage, pattern generation is the process of generating speaker specific models with collected data. The generative model used in speaker recognition is Vector Quantization [11]. The system was trained using speakers from the TIMIT database where we have used 30 speakers from different regions. Speech signal passed through pre-processing phase (emphasize + speech activity detection). We emphasize the speech using a high pass filter. We usually use a digital filter with 6dB/Octave. In formula (1), $\mu$ is a constant which is taken 0.97 usually [12] [6].

$$y(z) = 1 - \mu z^{-1}$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

After emphasizing phase, silence segments are removed by the speech activity detection algorithm so that twenty four mel-frequency cepstral coefficients are extracted and form the characterization of the models using Vector Quantization.

B. TESTING PHASE

In this stage we have use speech codecs: PCM, DPCM and ADPCM therefore their coefficients are converted into a binary sequence. Before using QPSK modulation we introduce Convolutional code [7, 18] with a rate of $\frac{1}{2}$. The coded signal is transmitted over AWGN channel. After demodulation (QPSK), convolutional decoding, and PCM, DPCM, or ADPCM decoding, the binary data is converted back to a synthesized speech file. Finally, cepstral coefficients are extracted (from the synthesized speech file).

C. DECISION PHASE

Pattern matching is the task of calculating the matching scores between the input feature vectors (arrived from testing phase) and the given models. In our work we have used the Euclidean Distance (ED) classifier method. The Euclidean distance (ED) classifier has the advantage of simplicity and fast computational speed. The classification is done by calculation the minimum distance to decide which speaker out of all the training set and the most likely to be the test speaker.

Consider a class $i'$ with an m-component mean feature vector $X$, and a sample vector $Y$, given respectively by [19]:

$$\overline{X}_i = \left[\overline{X}_{i1}, \overline{X}_{i2}, \ldots, \overline{X}_{im}\right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

and [19]:

$$Y = \left[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m\right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

The ED between class $i$ and vector $Y$ is given by [19]:

$$d(i, Y) = \left\|X_i - Y\right\| = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (x_{ik} - y_k)^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

For a number of classes $C$, the decision rule for the ED classifier is that $Y$ be assigned to class $j$ if [19]:

$$d(i, Y) = \min_{i \in C} d(i, Y)$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

IV. FEATURES EXTRACTION

The speaker-dependent features of human speech can be extracted and represented using the mel-frequency cepstral coefficients MFCC [6]. These coefficients are calculated by taking the cosine transform of the real logarithm of the short-term energy spectrum expressed on a mel-frequency scale [10]. After pre-emphasis and speech/silence detection the speech segments are windowed using a Hamming window. MFCC calculation is shown in figure 5.

![MFCC Calculation Diagram](image-url)

The TIMIT database files are sampled with a rate of 16000 samples/s, these files were downsampled to a rate of 8000 samples/s. In our speaker recognition experiments, the speech signal is segmented into frames of each 16 ms, generally it takes 128 points as a frame and the overlapped data is 64 points (8 ms). The Discrete Fourier Transform is taken of these windowed segments. The magnitude of the Fourier Transform is then passed into a filter-bank comprising of twenty four triangular filters (corresponds to MFCC coefficients).

V. NEW SPEECH ACTIVITY DETECTION (SAD) ALGORITHM WITH ADAPTIVE THRESHOLD

Speech presence detection in a background of noise is the important pre-processing step in Automatic Speaker Recognition (ASR) systems[21]. Removing nonspeech frames from the speaker recognition system input stream effectively reduces the insertion error rate of the system. SAD perform the speech/nonspeech classification and background noise reduction process on the basis of speech features extracted from the frame under consideration. There are many SAD algorithms available [21, 22, 23, 24, 25].
The new SAD is based on two original works [25, 26]. In [25] the author had used the LPC residual energy and zero crossing rate to detect speech activity using adaptive threshold where this threshold is calculated for every frame introduced in comparison with previous calculated features of frames which means a probable mistakes for firs frames (the algorithm is initiated and spans up to a few frames 0-15 frames, which is considered as non-speech). The second author [26] used energy and zero crossing rate ratios to voiced/non voiced classification of speech using a fixed threshold. Our new SAD is based on Energy and Zero crossing Rate (EZR) ratios using an adaptive threshold to detect speech activity and remove the silent and noise intervals. SAD operating with a rectangular window of 8ms. The procedure of calculating threshold is as follows:

1- Segmenting the whole speech signal (speaker's signal) in frames of 8ms with rectangular window and without overlapping.

2- Calculating the energy \( E[m] \) and zero crossing rate \( ZCR[m] \) for each frame and calculating \( \frac{E[m]}{ZCR[m]} \).

3- Calculating the maximum and minimum of EZR.

4- Calculate Threshold (formula 10).

The principle of EZR application explains itself by the fact that the energy of the speech activity is important while the rate of zero crossing rates is weak; therefore the value of EZR is important.

If a frame have an EZR superior to a threshold, this frame classified as speech, if the opposite the frame considered as nonspeech (the recognition system does not extract features from this frame). The threshold determination is estimated by the SAD algorithm in automatic and adaptive way [26]:

\[
EZR[m] = \frac{\overline{E}[m]}{ZCR[m]}
\]

Where \( ZCR[m] \) and \( \overline{E}[m] \) present respectively the zero crossing rate and the average energy of a frame [27]:

\[
\overline{E}(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2(n) \cdot w(m-n)
\]

Where: \( w \) is a rectangular window of length \( N \) (length of a frame) and \( x(n) \) is the frame signal with \( N \) samples. ZCR is defined as [28]:

\[
ZCR(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \text{sgn}[x(n)] - \text{sgn}[x(n-1)] \cdot w(m-n)
\]

Where \( \text{sgn}(.) \) is the signum function which is defined as [28]:

\[
\text{sgn}[x(n)] = \begin{cases} +1, & x(n) \geq 0 \\ -1, & x(n) < 0 \end{cases}
\]

SAD algorithm calculates EZRs of all frames (for a speaker's signal) and estimate threshold:

\[
\text{Threshold} = \min(EZR) + \alpha \cdot [\text{DELTA}]
\]

\[
\text{DELTA} = \max(EZR) - \min(EZR)
\]

\( \alpha \) is a real number in the interval of \( 0,1 \]. In our simulation we fixed: \( \alpha=0.35 \). We can resume our algorithm of speech activity detection in figure 6.

Fig. 6. Main algorithm of speech activity detection based on EZR.

VI. CHANNEL CODING TECHNIQUES

Channel coding is developed to maximize the recognition performance. There are different types of FEC (Forward Error Correction) techniques, namely Reed-Solomon and Convolutional codes [29]. The Viterbi algorithm is a method for decoding convolutional codes. A convolutional code with a code rate \( k/n \) also generates \( n \) output bits from every \( k \) input bits, as in the case of block codes. The difference is because the encoding of these \( k \) bits is not independent from the bits previously received but it has “memory.” A general diagram of a convolutional encoder is shown in Figure 7. At each time unit, the encoder takes a k-bit input sequence, shifts it through a set of \( m \) registers, and generates an n-bit output by performing a linear combination (or convolution), in modulo-2 arithmetic, of the data stored in the registers. The integer \( m \) is called constraint length. When \( k = 1 \), we have a special case for which the input bitstream is continuously processed [7].
In our work we use a convolutional code with ½ rate.

VII. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our work, we are training and testing the same database (TIMIT). As mentioned above, the generative model used in speaker recognition is Vector Quantization using MFCC (24 features).

The first test we, evaluate our SAD algorithm, where the speech signal which is "She had your dark suit in greasy wash water all year" passed through the algorithm ($\alpha = 0.35$). The figure 8 represents the original speech signal. The figure 9 represents the speech signal after it has been passed through SAD algorithm. The figure 10 illustrates a speech signal (clean speech) and its SAD counter. From figures 9 and 10, we observe the efficiency of our algorithm where silent segments are eliminated, therefore the memory capacity and recognition accuracy improved. Figures 11, 12 and 13, represent the SAD counter as function of SNR for 10dB, 5dB, 0dB respectively where it is clear that the SAD counter is effective, where Silent and background noise segments are eliminated. Further, Speech activity detection is robust down to SNR=5 dB. To observe the effect of the SAD algorithm on speaker identification rate, we have used our system of speaker recognition with and without SAD (not over digital channel). Figure 14 shows an identification rate with and without speech activity detection algorithm as function of SNR. It is clearly shown that this figure represents an improvement of identification rate accuracy when using the SAD algorithm in noisy environment. Further, the detector functioned accurately in low SNR environments.

The second test is about channel errors effect on a remote speaker recognition system. Therefore, we use original and reconstructed wave files after transmission over AWGN channel, furthermore we use these files with speaker identification system. Table 1 shows a simulation results of identification rate accuracy using original and reconstructed speech wave files, where we observe performance degradation of speaker identification accuracy when using reconstructed files.

The third test consists to do the identification rate of speaker recognition system using : PCM, DPCM and ADPCM code used in our Remote Speaker Recognition system where the figure 15 illustrates this study using AWGN channel in noisy environment, where we can conclude the efficiency of PCM code.

Even its clear, the use of channel coding gives good results, the fifth test is about effects of channel coding on speaker identification accuracy. Therefore, we evaluated the identification rate with and without Convolutional code as function of SNR (AWGN + code) considering PCM technique. Figure 16 shows a simulation results for identification rate as function of SNR with and without Convolutional code where we conclude the efficiency of channel code.
Fig. 10. Speech signal (clean signal) and SAD counter (below).

Fig. 11. Speech signal and SAD counter (below) at SNR=10dB.

Fig. 12. Speech signal and SAD counter (below) at SNR=5dB.

Fig. 13. Speech signal and SAD counter (below) at SNR=0dB.

Fig. 14. Identification rate with and without speech activity detection algorithm versus SNR.

Fig. 15. Remote speaker identification accuracy using PCM, DPCM, and ADPCM versus SNR.
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channel coding is necessary to make the remote system more robust against channel errors, therefore we have chosen convolutional code. the best overall performance of speech codecs was observed for PCM code in terms of identification rate accuracy and execution time (Elapsed time of execution). Moreover, it's recommended using PCM technique as speech codec in remote speaker recognition system in VoIP applications.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this work we have done a comparative study of speech codec: PCM, DPCM and ADPCM in view of their effects on our remote automatic speaker recognition system performance in noisy environment. Therefore, a system configuration is set. Since, Speech activity detection (SAD) algorithm perform speech/nonspeech classification and background noise reduction process, we have developed a new (SAD) algorithm which improves memory capacity and identification rate accuracy.

Our SAD algorithm that is based on energy and zero crossing rate, performs suitable counter of speech activity. Furthermore, it functioned accurately in low SNR environments (down to SNR=5 dB) and leads to a good identification accuracy.

In order to improve identification rate accuracy, the use of channel coding is necessary to make the remote system more robust against channel errors, therefore we have chosen convolutional code. the best overall performance of speech codecs was observed for PCM code in terms of identification rate accuracy and execution time (Elapsed time of execution). Moreover, it's recommended using PCM technique as speech codec in remote speaker recognition system in VoIP applications.
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